arXiv:math/0506473v2 [math.RA] 6 Jul 2005

ON RESTRICTED LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

IOANNIS DOKAS AND JEAN-LOUIS LODAY

Abstract. In this paper we prove that in prime characteristic there is a functor $-_{\mathbf{p\text{-}Leib}}$ from the category of diassociative algebras to the category of restricted Leibniz algebras, generalizing the functor from associative algebras to restricted Lie algebras. Moreover we define the notion of restricted universal enveloping diassociative algebra $Ud_p(\mathfrak{g})$ of a restricted Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and we show that Ud_p is left adjoint to the functor $-_{\mathbf{p\text{-}Leib}}$. We also construct the restricted enveloping algebra, which classifies the restricted Leibniz modules. In the last section we put a restricted pre-Lie structure on the tensor product of a Leibniz algebra by a Zinbiel algebra.

Introduction

In characteristic p the notion of Lie algebra is fruitfully replaced by the notion of *restricted Lie algebra*, that is a Lie algebra equipped with a formal p-th power called the Frobenius map. A non-antisymmetric version of Lie algebras has been introduced by the second author in [8] under the name *Leibniz algebras.* In [2] Dzhumadil'daev and S.A. Abdykassymova introduced the notion of *restricted Leibniz algebra* in characteristic p.

It is well-known that an associative algebra gives rise to a restricted Lie algebra by taking the p-th power as Frobenius map. In the Leibniz case, we prove that the Leibniz algebra associated to a diassociative algebra is in fact a restricted Leibniz algebra.

In the Lie case, the functor from associative algebras to restricted Lie algebras admits a left adjoint U_p and it is known that, for a restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the associative algebra $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ classifies the restricted Lie modules over $\mathfrak{g}$. In the Leibniz case, these two roles of U_p are played by two different objects. First, we prove that the restricted enveloping diassociative algebra $Ud_p(\mathfrak{g})$ provides a functor which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor. Second, we show that the restricted Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-modules are classified by an associative algebra $UL_p(\mathfrak{g})$ that we construct explicitly.

In the last part we show that the tensor product of a Leibniz algebra and a Zinbiel algebra (dual notion for Koszul duality), which is known to be a Lie algebra, has a finer structure: it is a *pre-Lie algebra.* This result is valid in any characteristic. In characteristic p it turns out that this pre-Lie algebra is in fact a restricted pre-Lie algebra in the sense of Dzhumadil'daev, cf. [3].

We thank Bruno Vallette for a careful reading of a first version of this paper.

In this paper we denote by k a field of prime characteristic p except at the beginning of section 4 where no characteristic hypothesis is made.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* MSC: 17A32; 17A99; 17B35; 17B55.

Key words and phrases. Restricted Lie algebra, restricted Leibniz algebra, Leibniz algebra, diassociative algebra, Zinbiel algebra, pre-Lie algebra.

1. Diassociative algebras and restricted Leibniz algebras.

A Leibniz algebra is a non-commutative version of Lie algebra. Thus, before we proceed with Leibniz algebras let us recall some facts in the Lie framework which led N. Jacobson to introduce the notion of restricted Lie algebra. In many cases in which Lie algebras arise naturally in prime characteristic one finds structures richer than the ordinary Lie algebras. For example, any associative algebra A over k gives rise to a pair $(A_{Lie}, [p])$ where A_{Lie} is the Lie algebra with bracket given by $[a, b] := ab - ba$ where $a, b \in A$ and $[p] : A_{Lie} \to A_{Lie}$ is the 'Frobenius mapping' $a \mapsto a^p$. Another example is obtained by considering the Lie algebra $Der(\mathfrak{U})$ of derivations of a not necessarily associative algebra $\mathfrak{U}$ over k. It can be proved (see [7]) that $Der(\mathfrak{U})$ is closed under the map $[p] : D \mapsto D^p$ where $D \in Der(\mathfrak{U})$ and the map $[p]$ verifies specific relations. These facts lead to the following definition.

1.1. **Definition** [7]**.** A *restricted Lie algebra* is a pair $(L, [p])$ where L is a Lie algebra over k and $[p] : L \to L,\ x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ is map which satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha x)^{[p]} &= \alpha^p x^{[p]},\ \ \alpha \in k,\ x \in L \\ [x, y^{[p]}] &= [\cdots [x, \underbrace{y], y] \cdots, y}_{p}],\ \ x, y \in L \\ (x + y)^{[p]} &= x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=p-1} s_i(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $is_i(x, y)$ is the coefficient of λ^{i-1} in $[\cdots [x, \underbrace{(\lambda x + y)], (\lambda x + y)] \cdots, (\lambda x + y)}_{p-1}]$.

A *restricted* morphism $f : L_1 \to L_2$ is a Lie morphism such that $f(x^{[p]}) = f(x)^{[p]}$. We denote by **p-Lie** the category of restricted Lie algebras.

1.2. **Example** [7]**.** Any associative algebra A over k has the structure of restricted algebra with p-map given by $a \mapsto a^p$. In particular, from the Leibniz rule we obtain that the derivation algebra $Der(\mathfrak{U}) \subset gl(\mathfrak{U})$ of a not necessarily associative algebra $\mathfrak{U}$ is a restricted Lie algebra.

Let L be a restricted Lie algebra, and let $U(L)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of L. The *universal restricted enveloping algebra* is defined by $U_p(L) := U(L)/\{x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in L\}$. A module over $U_p(L)$ is called *restricted* Lie module.

From example 1.2 above we see that there is a functor **Ass** $\to$ **p-Lie**. The left adjoint of this functor is the restricted enveloping functor $U_p(L)$. A natural question which arises is wether there is an analogous statement in the Leibniz framework in prime characteristic. Let us now recall the notion of Leibniz algebra, for more details about Leibniz algebras the reader could consult [8],[11].

1.3. **Definition.** A *Leibniz algebra* over k is a k-module $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a bilinear map, called *bracket*,

$$[-, -] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$

satisfying the *Leibniz identity* :

$$[x,\ [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y],$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$

We denote by **Leib** the category of Leibniz algebras over k.

1.4. **Example.** Any Lie algebra is a Leibniz algebra.

When we replace Lie algebras by Leibniz algebras the role of associative algebras is played by the diassociative algebras (see [10]). We recall the definition:

1.5. **Definitions.** A *diassociative* algebra is a k-module D equipped with two k-linear maps $\dashv$, $\vdash$: $D \otimes D \to D$ called respectively the *left product* and the *right product* such that the products $\dashv$ and $\vdash$ are associative and satisfy the following relations:

$$x \dashv (y \dashv z) = x \dashv (y \vdash z), \tag{1}$$
$$(x \vdash y) \dashv z = x \vdash (y \dashv z), \tag{2}$$
$$(x \dashv y) \vdash z = (x \vdash y) \vdash z. \tag{3}$$

Let D and D' be diassociative algebras. A *morphism* of diassociative algebras $f : D \to D'$ is a k-linear map such that:

$$f(x \dashv y) = f(x) \dashv f(y) \quad \textit{and} \quad f(x \vdash y) = f(x) \vdash f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in D$.

The category of diassociative algebras over k is denoted by **Dias**.

Proposition 1.6. *Let D be a diassociative algebra. Then D endowed with the following bracket*

$$[x, y\,] := x \dashv y - y \vdash x$$

is a Leibniz algebra, denoted by D_{Leib}.

Proof. See proposition 4.2 in [10].

Here we would like to note that in the Lie context the solution of many problems in prime characteristic requires only the knowledge of whether a p-map can be introduced in a given Lie algebra L over k. This led to the concept of *restrictable* Lie algebras (see [12]). In particular a Lie algebra L over k is called *restrictable* if $(\mathrm{Ad}\ x)^p$ is an inner derivation for all $x \in L$ (here $\mathrm{Ad}\ x(y) = [y, x]$). In other words for all $x \in L$ there exist $x^{[p]} \in L$ such that $(\mathrm{Ad}\ x)^p = (\mathrm{Ad}\ x^{[p]})$. From Theorem 11 in [7] we obtain that a Lie algebra L is restrictable if and only if there is a p-map $[p] : L \to L$ which makes L a restricted Lie algebra.

In [2] A.S Dzhumadil'daev and S.A. Abdykassymova introduce an analogue of the notion of *restrictable* Lie algebra in the Leibniz framework. They call it restricted Leibniz algebra, and we keep their terminology.

1.7. **Notation.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Leibniz algebra, we denote by $r_x : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ the right multiplication operator given by $r_x(y) := [y, x\,]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

1.8. **Definition** [2]**.** A *restricted Leibniz algebra* is a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, [p])$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over k, and $[p] : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g},\ x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ is a map (called the p-map) such that $r_x^p = r_{x^{[p]}}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [p])$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [p])$ be restricted Leibniz algebras. A *restricted morphism* $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is a Leibniz morphism such that $f(x^{[p]}) = f(x)^{[p]}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote the category of restricted Leibniz algebras over k by **p-Leib**.

1.9. **Example.** Any restricted Lie algebra is restricted as a Leibniz algebra.

The next theorem shows that the associated Leibniz algebra D_{Leib} of a diassociative algebra D over k has the structure of restricted Leibniz algebra. We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 1.10. *If D is a diassociative algebra then we have*

$$x \dashv (\cdots \underbrace{(y \dashv y) \dashv y) \cdots) \dashv y}_{n}) = x \dashv (\cdots \underbrace{(y \vdash y) \vdash y) \cdots) \vdash y}_{n})$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and $n \geq 1$. We adopt the notation $y^{\dashv\, n} := (\cdots \underbrace{(y \dashv y) \dashv y) \cdots) \dashv y}_{n}$ *and* $y^{\vdash\, n} := (\cdots \underbrace{(y \vdash y) \vdash y) \cdots) \vdash y}_{n}$.

Proof. In order to prove the identity we proceed by induction on n. For $n = 2$ this identity follows from axiom (1) of the definition 1.5. We suppose that the relation is true for n. Then $x \dashv y^{\dashv\, (n+1)} = x \dashv (y^{\dashv\, n} \dashv y) = (x \dashv y^{\dashv\, n}) \dashv y$. By induction hypothesis we get $(x \dashv y^{\dashv\, n}) \dashv y = (x \dashv y^{\vdash\, n}) \dashv y = x \dashv (y^{\vdash\, n} \dashv y)$. Finally, by axiom (1) of the definition 1.5, we obtain that $x \dashv (y^{\vdash\, n} \vdash y) = x \dashv y^{\vdash\, (n+1)}$. □

Theorem 1.11. *Let D be a diassociative algebra over k. If $[p] : D \to D$ is the map given by $x \to x^{\vdash\, p}$, then $(D_{Leib}, [p])$ is a restricted Leibniz algebra.*

Proof. For all $y \in D$ we will denote by $R_y^{\dashv}, L_y^{\vdash} : D \to D$ the maps defined respectively by $R_y^{\dashv}(x) := x \dashv y$ and $L_y^{\vdash}(x) := y \vdash x$. The Leibniz bracket, with this notation, is given by $[x, y] = (R_y^{\dashv} - L_y^{\vdash})(x)$ for all $x, y \in D$. Moreover, from relation (2) of the definition 1.5 we have that $R_y^{\dashv} L_y^{\vdash} = L_y^{\vdash} R_y^{\dashv}$. Therefore by the binomial formula we obtain that $(R_y^{\dashv} - L_y^{\vdash})^n = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} \binom{n}{i} (R_y^{\dashv})^i (-L_y^{\vdash})^{n-i}$.

Besides in prime characteristic p we have $\binom{p}{i} = 0 \ (mod\ p)$ if $0 < i < p$. Thus, for $p = n$ we get that $(R_y^{\dashv} - L_y^{\vdash})^p = (R_y^{\dashv})^p - (L_y^{\vdash})^p$. Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\cdots [x, \underbrace{y], y] \cdots y}_{p}] &= (R_y^{\dashv})^p(x) - (L_y^{\vdash})^p(x) \\
&= (\cdots (x \dashv \underbrace{y) \dashv y) \cdots) \dashv y}_{p}) - (\underbrace{y \vdash \cdots (y \vdash (y}_{p} \vdash x) \cdots) \\
&= x \dashv (\cdots \underbrace{((y \dashv y) \dashv y) \cdots) \dashv y}_{p}) - (\underbrace{y \vdash (\cdots (y \vdash (y \vdash y}_{p}) \cdots) \vdash x \\
&= x \dashv y^{\dashv\, p} - y^{\vdash\, p} \vdash x.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by lemma 1.10 above we obtain:

$$[\cdots [x, \underbrace{y], y] \cdots y}_{p}] = x \dashv y^{\vdash\, p} - y^{\vdash\, p} \vdash x = [x, y^{\vdash\, p}].$$

□

1.12. **Remark.** Here we made of a choice to define a p-map. In [5] Goichot introduced the quotient D_{as} of D by the relation $\dashv = \vdash$. In fact all the elements $x \vdash \cdots \vdash x \dashv \cdots \dashv x$ have the same image in D_{as} and each of them can be taken as p-map.

Proposition 1.13. *Let D be a diassociative algebra over k. The following formula holds in $D_{p\text{-}Leib}$*

$$[z,(x+y)^{[p]}]=[z,x^{[p]}]+[z,y^{[p]}]+[z,\sum_{i=1}^{i=p-1}s_i(x,y)],$$

where the bracket involved in $s_i(x,y)$ is the Leibniz bracket $x\dashv y-y\vdash x$.

Proof. Since $\vdash$ is an associative product we have the Jacobson formula

$$(x+y)^{[p]}=x^{[p]}+y^{[p]}+\sum_{i=1}^{i=p-1}s_i(x,y),$$

where the bracket used in s_i is the Lie bracket $x\vdash y-y\vdash x$. Under left bracketing with z we get the same element by replacing this bracket by the Leibniz bracket because of the relations $z\dashv(x\dashv y)=z\dashv(x\vdash y)$ and $(x\dashv y)\vdash z=(x\vdash y)\vdash z$. □

From Theorem 1.11 above we see that, in prime characteristic, the structure of restricted Leibniz algebra arises in a natural way from the structure of diassociative algebra. Moreover we have the following Proposition:

Proposition 1.14. *The following diagram of categories of algebras is commutative.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{As} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{p\text{-}Lie} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{Dias} \arrow[r] & \mathbf{p\text{-}Leib}
\end{tikzcd}$$

1.15. **Example.** Let D be an diassociative algebra over k, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(D)$ the diassociative algebra of $n\times n$-matrices with entries in D. Then $\mathfrak{g}l_n(D):=\mathcal{M}(D)_{Leib}$ is a restricted Leibniz algebra. Here we would like to mention that in zero characteristic the homology of $\mathfrak{g}l_n(D)$ when D has a bar-unit, has been computed by Frabetti (see [4]).

1.16. **Example.** Let A be an associative k-algebra equipped with a k-module map $D:A\to A$ satisfying the condition:

$$D(a(Db))=DaDb=D((Da)b)$$

for all $a,b\in A$. We define a bilinear map on A by

$$[a,b]:=a(Db)-(Db)a.$$

If we set $a\dashv b:=aDb$ and $b\vdash a:=(Db)a$ for all $a,b\in A$, then we can easily check that $(A,\dashv,\vdash)$ is a diassociative algebra. Therefore by theorem 1.11 above A has the structure of restricted Leibniz algebra with map $[p]:A\to A$ given by $a\mapsto a^{\vdash\, p}=(Da)^{p-1}a$ for all $a\in A$.

In case that $D=id$, we notice that $(A,[-,-])$ is a restricted Lie algebra with map given by $a\mapsto a^p$ for all $a\in A$.

2. Restricted universal enveloping diassociative algebra.

Let us recall that the free diassociative algebra over the vector space V is of the form $T(V)\otimes V\otimes T(V)$, cf. [10].

The functor $(-)_{Leib}:$ **Dias** $\to$ **Leib** has a left adjoint functor $Ud:$ **Leib** $\to$ **Dias** given by

$$Ud(\mathfrak{g}) := T(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes T(\mathfrak{g})/\{[x,y]-x\dashv y+y\vdash x \ \ |x,y\in\mathfrak{g}\}.$$

By theorem 1.11 we obtain a functor $(-)_{p\text{-}Leib}:$ **Dias** $\to$ **p-Leib**. In the following we construct a left adjoint to the functor $(-)_{p\text{-}Leib}$.

2.1. **Definition.** Let $(\mathfrak{g},[p])$ be a restricted Leibniz algebra. Then the *restricted universal diassociative algebra* $Ud_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by:

$$T(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes T(\mathfrak{g})/\big\{[x,y]-x\dashv y+y\vdash x,\ x^{[p]}-x^{\vdash\, p}\ \ |\ x,y\in\mathfrak{g}\big\},$$

where, in $T(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes T(\mathfrak{g})$, we have made the following identification:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x,y] &= 1\otimes[x,y]\otimes 1\ ,\\
x\dashv y &= 1\otimes x\otimes y\ ,\\
y\vdash x &= y\otimes x\otimes 1\ ,\\
x^{[p]} &= 1\otimes x^{[p]}\otimes 1\ ,\\
x^{\vdash\, p} &= \underbrace{x\otimes x\cdots\otimes x}_{p}\otimes 1\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},[p])$ be a restricted Leibniz algebra and D a diassociative algebra over k. Then we have the following natural bijection of sets.*

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Dias}}(Ud_p(\mathfrak{g}),D)\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{p\text{-}Leib}}(\mathfrak{g},D_{p\text{-}Leib}).$$

In other words the functor Ud_p is left adjoint to the functor $(-)_{p\text{-}Leib}$.

Proof. Let $f:\mathfrak{g}\to D_{\mathbf{p\text{-}Leib}}$ be a morphism of restricted Leibniz algebras. Since $T(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes T(\mathfrak{g})$ is the free diassociative algebra on $\mathfrak{g}$ there is a unique extension of f to a morphism $\hat{f}:T(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes T(\mathfrak{g})\to D$ of diassociative algebras. Moreover, we have $\hat{f}([x,y]-x\dashv y+y\vdash x)=0$ and $\hat{f}(x^{[p]}-x^{\vdash\, p})$ because f is a restricted Leibniz morphism. Therefore, $\hat{f}$ induces a diassociative algebra morphism $\phi_f: Ud_p(\mathfrak{g})\to D$.

Conversely, if $\phi: Ud_p(\mathfrak{g})\to D$ is a diassociative algebra morphism then the restriction $f_\phi: k\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes k\to D$ of ϕ to $k\otimes\mathfrak{g}\otimes k$ is a restricted Leibniz morphism.

It is easy to check that $f_{(\phi_f)}=f$ and $\phi_{(f_\phi)}=\phi$ and these two constructions give rise to a bijection

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Dias}}(Ud_p(\mathfrak{g}),D)\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{p-Leib}}(\mathfrak{g},D_{p\text{-}Leib}).$$

$\square$

3. Restricted modules and extensions.

In order to compare with the Lie context let us recall that a strongly abelian extension of restricted Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by a restricted Lie module M is an exact sequence of restricted Lie algebras:

$$0 \to M \to \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{g} \to 0$$

such that $[M, M] = 0$ and $M^{[p]} = 0$.

3.1. **Remark.** There is a bijection between the second Hochschild cohomology group $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ (see [6]) and the set of equivalence classes of strong abelian extensions. We note also that we can characterize extensions for which the p-map is not 0 using the general scheme of Quillen-Barr-Beck's cohomology theory for details the reader may consult [1].

By analogy we give the following definition:

3.2. **Definition.** An *abelian extension of restricted Leibniz algebras* (extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by M) is an exact sequence of restricted Leibniz algebras:

$$(\mathcal{E}): \quad 0 \to M \to \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{g} \to 0$$

such that $[M, M] = 0$ and $M^{[p]} = 0$

Here we recall the definition of *restricted* Leibniz module given by A. S. Dzhumadil'daev and S. A. Abdykassymova in [2].

3.3. **Definition** [2]**.** A *restricted* Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-module is a Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-module such that

$$[m, x^{[p]}] = [\cdots[m, \underbrace{x], x]\cdots, x}_{p}].$$

Lemma 3.4. *If* $(\mathcal{E})$ *is an extension of the restricted Leibniz algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *by* M

$$(\mathcal{E}): \quad 0 \to M \to \mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{g} \to 0$$

with $[M, M] = 0$ *and* $M^{[p]} = 0$*, then the vector space* M *inherits the structure of restricted Leibniz module.*

Proof. Given such an extension we see that M is a k-module equipped with two actions (left and right) of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$[-,-]: \mathfrak{g} \times M \to M \quad \textit{and} \quad [-,-]: M \times \mathfrak{g} \to M$$

such that the following relations hold:

$$[m, [x, y]] = [[m, x], y] - [[m, y], x], \tag{4}$$

$$[x, [m, y]] = [[x, m], y] - [[x, y], m], \tag{5}$$

$$[x, [y, m]] = [[x, y], m] - [[x, m], y], \tag{6}$$

$$[m, x^{[p]}] = [\cdots[m, \underbrace{x], x]\cdots, x}_{p}], \tag{7}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$. Therefore M is a restricted Leibniz module. □

3.5. **Restricted universal enveloping algebra of a restricted Leibniz algebra.** Let $\mathfrak{g}^l$ and $\mathfrak{g}^r$ be two copies of the Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by l_x and r_x the elements of $\mathfrak{g}^l$ and $\mathfrak{g}^r$ corresponding to $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $T(\mathfrak{g}^l \oplus \mathfrak{g}^r)$ be the tensor k-algebra, which is associative and unital.

3.6. **Definition.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Leibniz algebra. The *restricted universal enveloping algebra* $UL_p(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $UL_p(\mathfrak{g}) := T(\mathfrak{g}^l \oplus \mathfrak{g}^r)/I_p$ where I_p is the two-sided ideal corresponding to the relations:

$$r_{[x,y]} - r_x r_y - r_y r_x = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$l_{[x,y]} - l_x r_y - r_y l_x = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$(r_y + l_y) l_x = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$r_{x^{[p]}} - r_x^p = 0, \tag{11}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a restricted Leibniz algebra. The category of restricted Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-modules is equivalent to the category of right modules over $UL_p(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. Let M be a restricted $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Then we define actions of $\mathfrak{g}^l$ and $\mathfrak{g}^r$ respectively by:

$$m \cdot l_x := [x, m], \quad \textit{and} \quad m \cdot r_x := [m, x].$$

for all $m \in M$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. These actions are extended by composition and linearity to an action of $T(\mathfrak{g}^l \oplus \mathfrak{g}^r)$. Since M is a restricted $\mathfrak{g}$-module it is in particular a Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-module, therefore the elements of type (8), (9), (10) act trivially. Besides, from axiom (7) elements of type (11) act trivially as well. Therefore M is endowed with the structure of right $UL_p(\mathfrak{g})$-module.

Conversely, let M be a right $UL_p(\mathfrak{g})$-module. Then the restriction of the action on $\mathfrak{g}^l$ and $\mathfrak{g}^r$ makes M into a Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Moreover, from relation (11) of the definition 3.6 we obtain that M is actually a restricted Leibniz $\mathfrak{g}$-module. □

4. LIE PROPERTY OF KOSZUL DUALITY FOR LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

Let us recall two notions of algebras which are closely related to Lie and Leibniz algebras.

By definition a *pre-Lie algebra* (also called Vinberg algebra, and right-symmetric algebra) is a vector space A equipped with a binary operation denoted $\{-,-\}$ whose associator is right-symmetric, that is, satisfies the relation

$$\{\{x, y\}, z\} - \{x, \{y, z\}\} = \{\{x, z\}, y\} - \{x, \{z, y\}\}.$$

It is well-known that the antisymmetrized operation $[x, y] := \{x, y\} - \{y, x\}$ is a Lie bracket. In other words, pre-Lie algebras are Lie admissible algebras.

4.1. **Example.** Any associative algebra is a pre-Lie algebra.

4.2. **Notation.** Let A be a pre-Lie algebra. We denote by $R_a : A \to A$ the right-multiplication operator given by $R_a(b) := \{b, a\}$ for all $a, b \in A$. Moreover, we denote the n-th power, by $a^{\{n\}} := \underbrace{\{\cdots\{\{a, a\}, a\}\cdots\}, a\}}_{n}$ where $a \in A$ and $n \geq 1$.

Next, let us recall the notion of Zinbiel algebra which is the dual of Leibniz algebra in Koszul sense.

By definition a *Zinbiel algebra* is a vector space $\mathfrak{R}$ equipped with a binary operation denoted $\prec$ which satisfies the relation

$$(a \prec b) \prec c = a \prec (b \prec c) + a \prec (c \prec b).$$

The following lemma is useful for computations.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a Zinbiel algebra over k. In any characteristic we have, for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$:*

$$(\cdots((a \prec \underbrace{b) \prec b)\cdots) \prec b}_{n} = n!\; a \prec \underbrace{(b \prec (b \prec (\cdots \prec b)))}_{n}.$$

In particular, in characteristic p, we get:

$$(\cdots((a \prec \underbrace{b) \prec b)\cdots) \prec b}_{p} = 0$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Proof. Let us denote $b^{\prec n} := b \prec b^{\prec n-1}$ where $b^{\prec 1} = b$. We prove by induction that $b^{\prec n} \prec b = n\; b^{\prec n+1}$. It is true for $n = 1$. Then we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} b^{\prec n} \prec b &= (b \prec b^{\prec n-1}) \prec b, && (12)\\ &= b \prec (b^{\prec n-1} \prec b + b \prec b^{\prec n-1}), && (13)\\ &= b \prec ((n-1)\; b^{\prec n} + b^{\prec n}), && (14)\\ &= n\; b \prec b^{\prec n} = n\; b^{\prec n+1}). && (15)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdots((a \prec \underbrace{b) \prec b)\cdots) \prec b}_{n} &= (n-1)!\; (a \prec (b^{\prec n-1})) \prec b, && (16)\\ &= (n-1)!\; (a \prec (b^{\prec n-1} \prec b + b \prec b^{\prec n-1})), && (17)\\ &= n!\; a \prec b^{\prec n}. && (18)\end{aligned}$$

□

It is known (cf. [9]) that the tensor product of a Leibniz algebra with a Zinbiel algebra is a Lie algebra. Here we prove a finer result which is valid without characteristic hypothesis.

Proposition 4.4. *Over any field k the tensor product $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{R}$ of the Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Zinbiel algebra $\mathfrak{R}$ is a pre-Lie algebra.*

Proof. For $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$ we define

$$\{x \otimes a, y \otimes b\} := [x, y] \otimes a \prec b.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{x \otimes a, y \otimes b\}, z \otimes c\} - \{x \otimes a, \{y \otimes b, z \otimes c\}\}\\ &\quad = [[x, y], z] \otimes (a \prec b) \prec c - [x, [y, z]] \otimes a \prec (b \prec c)\\ &\quad = [[x, y], z] \otimes \big(a \prec (b \prec c) + a \prec (c \prec b)\big) + \big(-[[x, y], z] + [[x, z], y]\big) \otimes a \prec (b \prec c)\\ &\quad = [[x, y], z] \otimes a \prec (c \prec b) + [[x, z], y] \otimes a \prec (b \prec c).\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence the associator of the binary operation $\{-, -\}$ is right-symmetric. We have proved that the operation $\{-, -\}$ is pre-Lie. □

The category of pre-Lie algebras in prime characteristic have been studied by A. Dzhumadil'daev in [3]. In particular A. Dzumadil'daev, by theorem 1.1 in [3], proves that there is a Jacobson formula for the p-th power of a sum of two elements of a pre-Lie algebra. Moreover, he introduces the notion of restricted pre-Lie algebra:

4.5. **Definition** [3]**.** A *restricted pre-Lie* algebra is a pre-Lie algebra A over k such that $R_a^p = R_{a^{\{p\}}}$ for all $a \in A$.

The next theorem give us the Lie property of the Koszul duality in prime characteristic for the case of Leibniz and Zinbiel algebras.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Leibniz algebra and $\mathfrak{R}$ a Zinbiel algebra. Then the tensor product $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{R}$ is a restricted pre-Lie algebra with pre-Lie bracket given by:*

$$\{x\otimes a, y\otimes b\} := [x,y]\otimes(a\prec b)$$

and p-map given by

$$(y\otimes b)^{\{p\}} = [\cdots[[\underbrace{y,y],y]\cdots,y}_{p}]\otimes(\cdots((\underbrace{b\prec b)\prec b)\cdots\prec b}_{p}).$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.4 it follows that the bracket $\{-\otimes-,-\otimes-\}$ defined on $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{R}$ is indeed a pre-Lie bracket.

Moreover, we claim that $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{R}$ is a restricted pre-Lie algebra. Indeed we will prove that $R^p_{y\otimes b} = R_{(y\otimes b)^{\{p\}}} = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Leibniz algebra, we have the relations

$$[x,[y,z]] = -[x,[z,y]], \quad [x,[y,y]] = 0$$

for all $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$. Using the relations above we get $\Big[x,[\cdots[[\underbrace{y,y],y]\cdots,y}_{p}]\Big] = 0.$

Thus,

$$\{x\otimes a,(y\otimes b)^{\{p\}}\} = \Big[x,[\cdots[[\underbrace{y,y],y]\cdots,y}_{p}]\Big]\otimes a\prec\Big((\cdots((\underbrace{b\prec b)\prec b)\cdots)\prec b}_{p}\Big) = 0.$$

Besides, by Lemma 4.3 above we obtain that $R^p_{(y\otimes b)} = 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{R}$ is a restricted pre-Lie algebra as claimed. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Leibniz algebra and $\mathfrak{R}$ a Zinbiel algebra. Then the tensor product $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{R}$ is a restricted Lie algebra with Lie bracket given by:*

$$[x\otimes a, y\otimes b] := [x,y]\otimes(a\prec b) - [y,x]\otimes(b\prec a)$$

and p-map given by

$$(y\otimes b)^{[p]} = [\cdots[[\underbrace{y,y],y]\cdots,y}_{p}]\otimes(\cdots((\underbrace{b\prec b)\prec b)\cdots\prec b}_{p}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 in [3] and Theorem 4.6 the corresponding Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{R})_{Lie}$ is a restricted Lie algebra with p-map given by $(y\otimes b)\mapsto(y\otimes b)^{\{p\}}$ and the corollary is proved. $\square$

References

[1] I. Dokas, *Quillen-Barr-Beck (co-) homology for restricted Lie algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied algebra **186** (2004), 33-42.

[2] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev and S. A. Abdykassymova, *Leibniz algebras in characteristic p*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **332** (2001).

[3] A. Dzhumadil'daev, *Jacobson formula for right-symmetric algebras in characteristic p*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 9, 3759–3771.

[4] A. Frabetti, *Leibniz homology of dialgebras of matrices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **129** (1998), no. 2, 123–141.

[5] F. Goichot, *Un théorème de Milnor-Moore pour les algèbres de Leibniz.* Dialgebras and related operads, 111–133, Lecture Notes in Math., 1763, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
[6] G. Hochschild, *Cohomology of restricted Lie algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 555-580.
[7] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Dover, New York, 1979.
[8] J.-L. Loday, *Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz*, Enseign. Math. (2) **39** (1993), no. 3-4, 269-293.
[9] J.-L. Loday, *Cup-product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras*, Math. Scand. **77** (1995), no. 2, 189–196.
[10] J.-L. Loday, *Dialgebras*, in “Dialgebras and related operads”, 7–66, Lecture Notes in Math., 1763, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
[11] J.-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, *Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), no. 1, 139–158.
[12] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie algebras and their representations*, Dekker, New York, 1988.

Institut de Recherche Mathematique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur, 7 rue René-Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France
E-mail address: `dokas@math.u-strasbg.fr`

Institut de Recherche Mathematique Avancée, Université Louis Pasteur, 7 rue René-Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France
E-mail address: `loday@math.u-strasbg.fr`